

## Review Article

# Systematic Review of open versus Endovascular Repair of Thoracoabdominal Aneurysms

Khumara Huseynova<sup>1\*</sup> and Robert D Andres<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, West Virginia University

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, West Virginia University

**\*Corresponding author:** Khumara Huseynova, Assistant Professor West Virginia University, Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, PO Box 9238, Health Sciences Center, Morgantown, WV 26506; Tel: 304 293 2367; Fax: 304 293 5845; Email: khhuseynova@hsc.wvu.edu

**Citation:** Huseynova K, Andres RD (2016) Systematic Review of Open versus Endovascular Repair of Thoracoabdominal Aneurysms. J Surg 2016. J108.

**Received Date:** 06 December, 2016; **Accepted Date:** 11 January, 2017; **Published Date:** 18 January, 2017

### Abstract

Thoracoabdominal aneurysm (TAAA) is an aneurysmal dilatation of the descending thoracic and abdominal aorta. Given the high mortality and morbidity associated with open repair of TAAA a thoracic endovascular aortic aneurysm repair (TEVAR) has been proposed. We performed a systematic review of existing studies on open repair vs. TEVAR between 2000-14 with regards to mortality and neurological complications. Eleven studies were reviewed with a total of 1,713 and 317 patients for the open and TEVAR group, respectively. Our results demonstrate (open vs. TEVAR): overall 30-day mortality of 7.8% vs. 7.0% and spinal cord ischemia (SCI) of 4% vs. 10.4%. The TEVAR group included older and unfit patients with more co morbidities. Only one study in the open group reported a stroke rate of 3.2%. Overall stroke rate for the TEVAR group was 1%. Mortality and SCI rates were not different between two groups. While endovascular repair of TAAA has acceptable short-term outcomes, open repair is not obsolete and should be offered to younger, relatively fit patients.

### Introduction

Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA) is a dilatation of the descending thoracic and abdominal aorta 1.5 times its normal diameter [1]. TAAA are relatively uncommon. TAAA have high mortality and morbidity compared to infra renal abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA). The natural history of unrepaired TAAA is progressive with 52% and 17% two- and five-year survival rates, respectively [2]. The most common cause of mortality is cardiopulmonary failure in both unrepaired and surgically corrected patients with TAAA [2]. Mortality varies between 6-48% and is higher after emergency open repair [3]. Spinal cord ischemia (SCI) and stroke rates have been reported between 3-16% and 3-7%, respectively, after open repair of TAAA [4,5]. Given high mortality and morbidity associated with TAAA repair an endovascular approach has been proposed in an attempt to counterbalance complications [6]. In the absence of Level I data comparing outcomes of these two treatment methods we sought to perform a systematic review of existing studies on open and endovascular repair of TAAA with regards to mortality and neurological complications.

### Methods and Search Strategy

Extensive search of Medline and EMBASE databases published between 2000-2014 was conducted for studies reporting 30-day mortality, spinal cord ischemia and/or stroke rates in patients who underwent OR and TEVAR. A manual evaluation and extraction of references from primary papers was also performed. Studies published in different journals by the same authors that involved the same study population were carefully evaluated and only one of them was included.

The search was conducted by two independent authors. Additional articles were retrieved by carefully examining references at the end of the articles. All articles published in English between 2000-14 that reported results of endovascular and or open repair of TAAA were included. Since all studies included patients with both atherosclerotic/degenerative and connective tissue disorder-related aneurysms, both groups were included. Emergency procedures and studies focusing exclusively on redo operations were excluded. Only studies that reported separate outcomes for elective and emergent cases were included. In such cases, patient demograph-

ics were accepted for the overall study sample, unless it was reported separately for the elective and emergent groups. If a study included ruptured TAAA, descending thoracic aortic aneurysm (DTAA) or patients with a history of TAAA repair, outcomes were recalculated whenever possible, excluding those patients. Hybrid procedures combining open de-branching of renal and mesenteric vessels with endovascular endograft insertion were also excluded from this review. The date of last search was May 30, 2015.

All articles that reported the outcomes of the following re-search questions were reviewed independently by two authors:

What are the 30-day mortality and SCI rates after open TAAA repair?

What are the 30-day mortality and SCI rates after TEVAR?

Disagreements about the inclusion/exclusion of a given article were reviewed carefully based on criteria employed for this systematic review and resolved via consensus (Table 1).

Inclusion criteria:	Exclusion criteria:
Demographics (age, gender, co-morbidities) are clearly described	Concomitant ascending aortic or arch surgery
30-day mortality reported after elective TAAA repair	N<10
At least one of the two clinical complications of interest is reported (SCI, stroke)	Hybrid repair

Thoracoabdominal aneurysms (degenerative, connective tissue disorders-related)	Ruptured/symptomatic aneurysms requiring emergent/urgent surgery, the results of which are combined with elective repair results
English language	
Publication year 2000-2014	

**Table 1:** Inclusion criteria for systematic review.

## Statistical Analysis

Mortality and SCI rates were calculated using frequencies provided from retrieved studies. Rates were calculated as the number of events divided by a total sample size. If the exact number of events was not given, the numbers were obtained through back calculation using the provided percentage (or rate) and the total sample size. A t test was used to obtain pooled comparisons between groups for continuous variables. SAS 9.3 package was used for statistical analysis (SAS Institute Inc. Carry, NC).

## Results

Our systematic search of literature revealed a total of 328 study titles. After reduplication, 120 titles remained. Of these, 40 abstracts reported mortality rates (of which, 24 abstracts met inclusion criteria); 16 abstracts reported stroke rates (6 of which met inclusion criteria); and 25 abstracts reported SCI rates, 17 met inclusion criteria. After retrieving full texts of abstracts and checking them against our inclusion/exclusion criteria, 10 studies were included in the current review (Table 2).

First author	Study period	Number of patients with elective TAAA repair	Study design	Inclusion criteria	Comorbidities	CSF drain	Anesthesia	Mean follow up in months
OPEN RE-PAIR								
Lombardi	1993-2003	279	Retrospective	With and without prior TAAA/AAA repair	COPD Smoking MI CRF	Selective	General	NR
Rectenwald	1993-1999	58	Retrospective	NR	HTN Smoking CAD prior vascular surgery	All	General	12
Coselli	1986-1998	1,108	Retrospective	NR	HTN, CAD, COPD, RAOD	Selective	General	NR
Sundt	2001-2010	32	Retrospective	Elective and urgent DTAA and TAAA	HTN, COPD, CAD, Prior cardiovascular surgery	NR	General	NR
Greenberg (open repair results)	2001-2006	236	Retrospective cohort	Elective DTAA and TAAA with/without ascending aortic/arch involvement	Smoking, CAD, COPD, CRF	Selective	NR	NR

TEVAR/f(b) EVAR								
Ferreira	2006-2008	11	Prospective	Unfit for open repair	COPD, CAD, HTN	All	General	8
Verhoeven	2009	30	Retrospective	Unfit for open repair	Smoking HTN	Selective	Mostly general	12
Greenberg (TEVAR results)	2001-2006	189	Retrospective cohort	Elective DTAA and TAAA with/ without ascending aortic/arch involvement; Older or unfit patients	Smoking, CAD, COPD, CRF	Selective	NR	NR
Clough	2008-2011	31	Retrospective cohort	Elective, high risk for open surgery	HTN, CAD, Arrhythmias, COPD, CRF, DM	All	General, Epidural, Local	12
Jamieson	2009-2012	10	Retrospective cohort	Elective	NR	Selective (1patient)	General	14
Bisdas	2010-2013	46	Retrospective cohort	Elective	HTN, Smoking, CAD, COPD,DM	Selective	General	6

**Table 2:** Baseline demographic characteristics of patients in studies included in the systematic review.

DTAA-descending thoracic aortic aneurysm; TAAA-thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm; NR-not reported; CAD-coronary artery disease; COPD-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRF-chronic renal failure; HTN-hypertension; MI-myocardial infarction; RAOD-renal artery occlusive disease; DM-diabetes; f(b)EVAR-fenestrated or branched graft endovascular aneurysm repair

## Open repair

Tables 2 and 3 provide information about patient characteristics, intra operative details and perioperative complications for both groups. There were a total of 1,713 patients in the OR group across 5 retrospective studies [7-11]. The most common type of

TAAA was Crawford type I (29%). Fifty percent of the overall patients had prior aortic surgery. The mean age was 65 (median: 66). Sixty percent of patients were male. The most common indication for repair was presence of a TAAA in a relatively fit patient.

No study reported postoperative mesenteric ischemia, sac enlargement or visceral branch occlusion rates. Re-intervention rate was between 6-9% [7,10,11]. The overall mortality rate was 7.8%. The overall SCI rate was 4%. Only one study reported a stroke rate of 3.2% [10].

## TEVAR

There were a total of 317 patients in the TEVAR group (Table 3) [11-16].

Study	Study period	Number of patients with elective TAAA repair	Deaths N (%)	SCI N (%)	Stroke N (%)
<b>OPEN REPAIR</b>					
Lombardi	1993-2003	279	31 (11)	8 (3.0)	NR
Rectenwald	1993-1999	58	6 (10.0)	6 (10.0)	NR
Coselli	1986-1998	1,108	70 (6.3)	40 (3.6)	NR
Sundt	2001-2010	32	3 (9.4)	0	1 (3.2)
Greenberg (open repair results)	2001-2006	236	23 (9.7)	14 (11.4)	NR
Total		1,713	133 (7.8)	68 (4.0)	*

TEVAR/f(b)EVAR					
Ferreira	2006-2008	11	2 (18.2)	3 (27.3)	1(9.0)
Verhoeven	2009	30	2 (6.7)	5 (16.7)	0
Greenberg (TEVAR results)	2001-2006	189	12 (6.0)	14 (7.4)	NR
Clough	2008-2011	31	3 (9.7)	3 (9.7)	1 (3.2)
Jamieson	2009-2012	10	1 (10.0)	3 (30.0)	1 (10.0)
Bisdas	2010-2013	46	2 (4.3)	5 (10.9)	NR
Total		317	22 (7.0)	33 (10.4)	3 (1.0)

NR- not reported

f(b)EVAR- fenestrated or branched graft endovascular aneurysm repair

SCI- spinal cord ischemia

\*- overall stroke rate not calculated due to too many missing values

**Table 3:** Reported mortality and spinal cord ischemia (SCI) rates for open repair and TEVAR.

The most common type of TAAA was Crawford type III (53%). Overall, 35.7% patients had prior aortic surgery. The mean age was 72 (median 72). Seventy one percent of patients were male. The most common reason for repair was a prohibitively high risk for open surgery.

All studies used custom-made branched grafts. The number of treated branches varied between 1-4 [12,14,15]. Preoperative paraplegia rate was not available. Re-intervention rate was between 0-7%. Overall stroke rate was 1%. Overall mortality rate was 7% and the SCI rate was 10.4%. There was no statistically significant difference in mortality (p=0.13) and SCI rates (p=0.32) between open repair and TEVAR groups.

## Discussion

The TEVAR group included older and unfit patients. The incidence of SCI was high in the TEVAR group but the difference was not statistically significant. 30-day mortality rates were similar after TEVAR and OR. This could be related to centralization of TAAA cases in high-volume centers with better infrastructure for treating such patients and improved reperfusion techniques to prevent SCI. Studies published by these centers demonstrate it as well [17]. In addition, only elective cases were included in this review.

Several studies have shown that Type II TAAA extending from the left subclavian artery to the aortic bifurcation is associated with higher rates of SCI [17,18]. In our systematic review Type II TAAA comprised 32% and 25% of TAAA in open repair and TEVAR groups, respectively. Studies included in our review did not stratify outcomes based on Crawford types.

Cerebrospinal fluid drain has been shown to significantly reduce paraplegia/ paraparesis rates related to repair of extensive TAAA in some studies, especially in Type II TAAA [19]. Interestingly, a recent Cochrane review did not show any significant

benefit of CSF drainage among patients undergoing Types I and II TAAA repair compared to a control group [20]. Of note, this study included 3 randomized trials, and one trial used a combination of CSF drain and intrathecal palavering administration. Our review demonstrates that CSF drains have been and continue to be heavily utilized. The majority of studies report at least selective placement of CSF drain.

Several facts became evident in our current literature review. First, it became apparent that the emphasis in most published studies was on description of certain techniques and not necessarily outcomes. For example, in studies included in this review, several important outcomes such as end leak, sac enlargement, mesenteric ischemia rates were not reported. In addition, there is significant heterogeneity in patient selection, outcome definitions, and techniques used and reporting of results. Most studies combine results of emergency and elective repair and report overall mortality and morbidity rates, which are subject to high variations given the inherent differences in baseline hemodynamic and other characteristics of patients in these two respective groups. Our review demonstrated that although certain centers achieve acceptable results with either type of repair, there is a need for some standardizing criteria for patient selection, outcome definitions and report of results. There is also significant heterogeneity in endovascular options for the treatment of TAAA, as well as surgeon preference and training, graft availability at each institution and the branch structure. Several reports combine various grafts and techniques. Standardization of techniques and grafts and outcome definitions would allow for more robust and head-to-head comparison of open and endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aneurysms.

## Conclusion

In summary, there is an indication for both open and endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aneurysms. While evidence

for endovascular repair of TAAA is progressively growing, open repair should still be offered to younger and relatively fit patients.

Our review highlights the importance of establishing more homogeneous ways for reporting TAAA repair in literature. More specifically, every attempt should be made to separate emergency and elective cases given different outcomes associated, and important complications such as stroke, renal failure and mesenteric ischemia related to TAAA repair, branch occlusion, sac enlargement and reintervention rates should be reported. In case of TEVAR, indicating the number of branches treated, whether a fenestrated or branched endograft was used, and conversion to open repair should be reported. Only by standardizing the reported outcomes can we make head to head comparisons to improve complication rates.

## References

1. Santilli JD (1997) Diagnosis and treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Am Fam Physician* 56: 1081-1090.
2. Cambria RA, Gloviczki P, Stanson AW, Cherry KJ Jr, Bower TC, et al (1995) Outcome and expansion rate of 57 thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms managed nonoperatively. *Am J Surg* 170: 213-217.
3. Quinones-Baldrich WJ (2004) Descending thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: 15-year results using a uniform approach. *Ann Vasc Surg* 18: 335-342.
4. Rigberg DA, McGory ML, Zingmond DS, Maggard MA, Agustin M, et al (2006) Thirty-day mortality statistics underestimate the risk of repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms: a statewide experience. *J Vasc Surg* 43: 217-222.
5. Bakoyiannis C, Kalles V, Economopoulos K, Georgopoulos S, Tsigris C, et al (2009) Hybrid procedures in the treatment of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms: a systematic review. *J Endovasc Ther* 16: 443-450.
6. Park JH, Chung JW, Choo IW, Kim SJ, Lee JY, et al (1996) Fenestrated stent-grafts for preserving visceral arterial branches in the treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms: preliminary experience. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 7: 819-823.
7. Lombardi JV, Carpenter JP, Pochettino A, Sonnad SS, Bavaria JE (2003) Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair after prior aortic surgery. *J Vasc Surg* 38: 1185-1190.
8. Rectenwald JE, Huber TS, Martin TD, Ozaki CK, Devidas M, et al (2002) Functional outcome after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 35: 640-647.
9. Coselli JS, LeMaire SA, Miller CC 3rd, Schmittling ZC, Köksoy C, et al (2000) Mortality and paraplegia after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: a risk factor analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 69: 409-414.
10. Sundt TM, Flemming MD, Oderich GS, Torres NE, Li Z, et al (2011) Spinal cord protection during open repair of thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms using profound hypothermia and circulatory arrest. *J Am Coll Surg* 212: 678-683.
11. Greenberg RK, Lu Q, Roselli EE, Svensson LG, Moon MC (2008) Contemporary analysis of descending thoracic and thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair: a comparison of endovascular and open techniques. *Circulation* 118: 808-817.
12. Ferreira M, Lanzotti L, Monteiro M (2008) Branched devices for thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair: Early experience. *J Vasc Surg* 48: 30S-36S.
13. Verhoeven EL, Tielliu IF, Bos WT, Zeebregts CJ (2012) Present and future of branched stent grafts in thoraco-abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: a single-centre experience. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 38: 155-161.
14. Clough RE, Modarai B, Bell RE, Salter R, Sabharwal T et al (2012) Total endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 43: 262-267.
15. Jamieson RW and Huigol RL (2013) Early Australasian experience with branched endovascular thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *ANZ J Surg* 83: 808-813.
16. Bisdas T, Donas KP, Bosiers MJ, Torsello G, Austermann M (2014) Custom-made versus off-the-shelf multibranched endografts for endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 60: 1186-1195.
17. Lemaire SA, Price MD, Green SY, Zarda S, Coselli JS (2012) Results of open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg* 1: 286-292.
18. Mehmedagic I, Resch T, Acosta S (2013) Complications to cerebrospinal fluid drainage and predictors of spinal cord ischemia in patients with aortic disease undergoing advanced endovascular therapy. *Vasc Endovascular Surg* 47: 415-422.
19. Safi HJ, Estrera AL, Miller CC, Huynh TT, Porat EE, et al (2005) Evolution of risk for neurologic deficit after descending and thoracoabdominal aortic repair. *Ann Thorac Surg* 80: 2173-2179.
20. Khan SN and Stansby G (2012) Cerebrospinal fluid drainage for thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012.