Efficient Lentiviral Transduction of Different Human and Mouse Cells
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Abstract

Background: Lentiviral Vectors (LVs) allowing efficient establishment of stable transgene overexpression mammalian and human cell lines are invaluable tools for genetic research. Currently, although LV transductions are broadly adopted, they are often limited due to their low titers for efficient transduction.

Results: Here, we described a set of optimized, efficient techniques, which could produce sufficiently high LV titers, and, provide efficient transduction of cells. According to these optimizations, most of the mammalian and human cells, both primary cells and cell lines, could be transduced successfully with high levels of transgene stable expression, including both constitutive and induced expressions.

Conclusions: Our data demonstrated the highly usefulness of our optimized methods. Therefore, this study provided an efficient method for most of LV transduction experiments in vitro.
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Abbreviations

Lvs          : Lentiviral Vectors
HEK293T     : Human Embryonic Kidney 293T
Neo          : Neomycin
Puro         : Puromycin
TO           : Tet-On
Npcs         : Neural Progenitor Cells
DMEM         : Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium
FBS          : Fetal Bovine Serum
RT           : Room Temperature
MOI          : Multiplicity of Infection
GAPDH        : Glyceraldehyde 3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase
TBS          : Tris Buffered Saline
SD           : Standard Deviation
ORF          : Open Reading Frames
Bp           : Base Pairs
Introduction

Stable cell lines overexpressing genes of interest are powerful, indispensable tools for investigating their functions in vitro. The third generation Lentiviruses (LVs) are broadly adopted to efficiently establish stable cell lines due to their unique characteristics, which include the ability to transduce terminally differentiated cells [1], the resistance to transcriptional silencing [2], the competence to accommodate various different promoters [3], and the self-inactivation to confirm that the transgene expressions in targeted cells are controlled solely by the internal promoters [4], and so forth. So far, LVs are widely used for gene delivery both in vivo and in vitro. It is well known that LVs can mediate stable gene transfer into neurons in vivo [1]. In addition, LVs can be adopted to generate transgene mice and rats with both ubiquitously expressing promoters and tissue-specific promoters [3]. Furthermore, LVs can be employed to transduce somatic cells to produce induced-pluripotent stem cells [5-7]. Finally, LVs are also applied to deliver the CRISPR/Cas9 system for multiplex genome engineering and screening [8-10], etc.

However, one of the major troubles for LV transduction is due to their low titers of some LVs adopted, hence, results in low efficiencies of transduction [10]. Generally, the third-generation LV systems contain a transfer vector carrying the genes of interest, and three packaging vectors harboring Gag-Pol, Rev, and VSV-G genes, respectively [11]. The working mechanism of LVs is that the Gag-Pol precursor protein is finally processed into integrase, reverse transcriptase and structural proteins during maturation. In addition, Rev interacts with a cis-acting element in the transfer vector enhancing export of genomic transcripts. Moreover, VSVG protein of LV envelope membrane endows LV the ability to infect a wide range of cell types, such as primary cells, stem cells, early embryos and terminally differentiated cells, etc. [1,3,11,12]. When these four separate plasmids are co-transfected into Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293T cells, each cell which contains these four plasmids can produce LV particles. Previously, we co-transfected CMV-DsRed and EF1α-EGFP plasmids into HEK293 cells with Lipofectamine 2000. We found that only a small part of the transfected cells expressed both DsRed and EGFP. Whereas, a large part of cells expressed either DsRed or EGFP, respectively (unpublished data). From this phenomenon, we could infer two possible indications. The first indication is that the DNA-Lipofectamine complexes contain different DNAs. Some of them contained both CMV-DsRed and EF1α-EGFP DNAs, and the others only contained either CMV-DsRed DNA or EF1α-EGFP DNA, respectively. The second indication is, probably, during transfection, only one DNA-Lipofectamine complex can be taken into each transfected cell. Based on this hypothesis, we reasoned that, during co-transfection of several plasmids, the efficiencies of the cells containing all the plasmids are decreased with the increasing of the number of plasmids. Indeed, lacking of any plasmid of the LV systems resulted in their functional titers below detection limit [13]. This is the major barrier to obtain sufficiently high-titer LV preparations with the third generation systems.

Therefore, in this study, we made the following optimizations for LV transduction experiment design. 1) We coated 10cm dishes with 0.001% poly-L-lysine for culturing HEK293T cells during transfection, to let the cells stick to the dishes strongly, so that the cells were growing better and more resistant to detaching from the dishes [11]. 2) We employed LV systems containing the third generation, self-inactivating lentiviral transfer vectors (pWPI and pLenti/TO, respectively), and the second generation packaging vectors (for instance, pMD2.G and psPAX2) to increase the transfection efficiencies of the necessary plasmids (in total, three separate plasmids). 3) We mixed these three plasmid DNAs thoroughly before combined with Lipofectamine, to increase the number of DNA-Lipofectamine complexes, which contained these three plasmids. 4) We developed a simpler method for LV titration to instruct our further LV transduction performance. Our data demonstrated that our LV transduction experiments are sufficiently efficient. This study provided an important method for LV transduction and establishment of stable transgene cell lines in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Construction of lentiviral transfer vectors: The construction of the lentiviral transfer vectors was described in details previously [14-17]. The vectors included pWPI/α-SynWt/Neomycin (Neo), pWPI/ α-SynA30P/Neo, pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo, pWPI/EGFP/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2WT/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2K111M/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2T239D/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2T239V/Neo, pWPI/β5WT/Neo, pWPI/β5T1A/Neo, pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo, pWPI/GDIR240A/Neo, pWPI/Rab3Awt/Neo, pWPI/Rab3AT36N/Neo, pWPI/Rab3AQ81L/Neo, pLenti/TO/β5WT/Puromycin (Puro), pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro. The bicistronic LV pWPI (Addgene plasmid 12254), which carries an EF1α-IRES-Neo cassette for the constitutive expression of dual genes driven by EF1α promoter and mediated by IRES, was modified by replacing EGFP sequence with Neo sequence, to form pWPI/Neo LV [14]. The pLenti CMV/TO Puro DEST (Addgene plasmid 17293) inducible LV, which carries a CMV/Tet-On (TO)-PGK-Puro cassette, provides the inducible expression of the genes of interests driven by CMV/TO promoter and regulated by doxycycline, and the constitutive expression of Puro driven by PGK promoter, respectively [15,18].

Cell culture: All animal experiments were performed according to the guidelines established in the Canadian Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. HEK293, HEK293T, HEK293/TO, SHSY5Y (ATCC), SHSY5Y/TO, adult mouse whole brain
Neural Progenitor Cells (NPCs), and BV-2 mouse microglial cells were thawed from liquid nitrogen. HEK293, HEK293/TO, and HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) in 10-cm dishes. SHSY5Y and SHSY5Y/TO cells were cultured in DMEM plus 15% FBS in 10-cm dishes (Wisent Biocenter). NPCs were cultured in the medium DMEM/F12/N2 (Gibco) containing βFGF (20ng/ml, Invitrogen), EGF (20ng/ml, Invitrogen) and heparin (5µg/ml, Sigma), in T75 flasks [19, 20]. BV-2 mouse microglial cells were cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco) plus 10% FBS, respectively [21].

**Production of lentiviruses**: HEK293T cells were thawed from liquid nitrogen and cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, growing for a week in 10cm dishes, passage at least three times. 10cm dishes were coated with 0.001% poly-L-lysine (Sigma) for 15 minutes at Room Temperature (RT), and then the poly-L-lysine was removed thoroughly by siphoning [11]. When HEK293T cells were passaged, at first, the cells were washed with Ca2+, Mg2+-free DPBS twice (Wisent Biocenter), then, treated with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Wisent Biocenter) for 1 min at RT, and then pipetted up and down about five times in order to separate almost all of them into single cells. To obtain even distribution of the cells across the surface of the plates, the cells were mixed thoroughly by pipetting up and down with 10ml serological pipettes before seeding, after seeding the cells, do not rock the dishes, or more cells would move to the central parts of the dishes, and decrease the following transfection efficiencies. The HEK293T cells were cultured until about 70-80% confluent, and the lentiviral transfer vectors and packaging vectors were adjusted according to their sizes, and their molecular ratios were about 1:1:1, respectively. For each transfection, the DNAs of the transfer vector and two packaging vectors were added into 1ml DMEM (without FBS) according to the supplementary data table 1, and the DNA mixtures were mixed thoroughly by low-speed vortex. And then, 40µl Lipofectamine 2000 was diluted in 1ml DMEM (without FBS), mixed gently by inversion of the tube for about 10 times, incubated for 5min at RT. After 5min incubation, the diluted DNAs and diluted Lipofectamine 2000 were combined, mixed gently by inversion the tube for about 10 times, and incubated for 20 min at RT to form Lipofectamine-DNA complexes [14]. Finally, the 2ml complexes were added to each well containing HEK293T cells and 8ml DMEM + 10% FBS medium, mixed gently by rocking the plates. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator overnight. According to different purposes, different media were used to harvest the lentiviruses. For HEK293, SHSY5Y and BV-2 cell infection, 6ml fresh DMEM + 2% FBS medium was used [11]. For NPCs infection, NPC culture medium (DMEM/F12/N2 + 20ng/ml βFGF + 20ng/ml EGF + 5µg/ml heparin) was used for harvesting the viruses. 48 hours post-transfection, the supernatants were harvested for LVs. The viruses were centrifuged at 2500 x g for 10 min, and filtered through 0.45μm filters. The viruses were used for titration and infection freshly, or stored at -80°C freezer.

**Titration of lentiviruses**: The titration was performed with 6-well plates. First of all, 6-well plates were coated with 0.001% poly-L-lysine (Sigma) for 15 min at RT [11]. Then HEK293 cells were split, and seeded in each well. When the cells were about 70-80% confluent, the medium was removed. Then 900µl fresh DMEM + 2% FBS medium were added into each well, respectively. The viruses were thawed on ice, and 6-well plates were used to make 10 x fold dilutions (Figure 1).

6-well plates were used for titration. The plates were coated with 0.001% poly-L-lysine for 15 min at RT. Then HEK293 cells were seeded in each well and let cells grow up to about 70-80% confluent. And then, each well was replenished with 900µl fresh DMEM + 2% FBS medium, respectively. The viruses were thawed, and made 10 x fold dilutions directly in the 6-well plates with cells. In each well, 100µl diluted virus was added into 900µl medium, and repeated on until 10⁰ or 10⁴ dilutions. PLEASE note that, in order to make 10⁷ or 10⁸ dilutions, the early 10 fold dilutions were made using another 6-well plate, and did not show in this scheme. The infected cells were selected with 400-800µg/ml G418 for neomycin expression, and 1.5µg/ml puromycin for pLenti/TO/Puro.

Vector infection after two weeks of selection, the live cell colonies were directly counted under microscope or stained with crystal violet. Finally, the stained cell colonies were counted with naked eyes. The titers of the lentiviruses were calculated as indicated in the figure.

**Figure 1**: The scheme of titration using 6-well plates.
After the viruses were thawed, in the first well, 100µl virus was added into 900µl DMEM +2% FBS medium to make 10 times dilution, mix them sufficiently by shaking the plate. Then, in the second well, 100µl 10 x fold diluted virus was added into 900µl medium to make 100 times dilution, and repeated on until to make $10^7$ or $10^8$ dilutions. The infected cells were incubated at 37°C in a CO$_2$ incubator overnight. The medium with viruses was replenished with 2ml fresh DMEM + 10% FBS medium. 48 hours after infection, add 400-800µg/ml G418 (BioShop) gradually to select infected HEK293 cells with Neomycin expression [22], and 1.5µg/ml Puro for infected HEK293 cells with pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro and pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LVs [23,24]. After two weeks of selection, the live cell colonies were directly counted under microscope or stained with crystal violet (Sigma). Briefly, 1% crystal violet solution was prepared in 10% ethanol, then, the medium of the selected cells was removed, and the cells were washed twice with DPBS (Wisent Biocenter). Then, 1ml crystal violet solution was added into each well, and incubated for 10 min at RT. And then, the staining solution was removed and the cells were washed twice with DPBS. Finally, the stained cell colonies were counted with naked eyes. The titers of the LVs were calculated as below: Titers (TU/ml) = colony number x dilution factors (such as $10^4$, $10^5$, etc.) x (1000/900) (if not the last well) to adjust into 1 ml volume (Figures 2, 3).

The big blue-stained colonies (indicated with black arrows) represent several infected cells grew together to form a big cell colony, but each of them was counted as one colony for calculating the titers. A, B, and C: pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro, $10^5$ wells were chosen for counting the live cell colonies; D, E, and F: pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro, the $10^3$ wells were chosen for counting the live cell colonies.

Infection and Selection of Stable Cell Lines

HEK293, SHSY5Y cells: Upon infection, the LVs were thawed on ice from -80°C freezer, and HEK293, SHSY5Y, SHSY5Y/TO cells were cultured and passaged as described above. The 10-cm dishes were coated with 0.001% Poly-L-lysine for infection. When the cells were about 80% confluent, the medium was removed thoroughly, and 6ml LV supernatants were directly added into the 10-cm dishes, respectively. The cells were infected for 6 hours or overnight. Then, the virus medium was replenished with DMEM + 10%FBS and DMEM +15%FBS, respectively. For HEK293 cells infected with pWPI/Neo vectors with respective insert genes, 500-800µg/ml G418 was added gradually to select stable cell lines for two weeks [22]. For SHSY5Y cells infected with pWPI/Neo vectors with insert genes, 300-600µg/ml G418 was added gradually to select stable cell lines for two weeks [25].

NPCs: NPCs were cultured in T75 flasks to form neurospheres. NPC neurospheres were collected into 15ml Falcon tubes, and centrifuged at 800 RPM for 5 min. Then, the medium was removed, and washed with Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$-free DPBS twice, each time, remove the DPBS with centrifugation. And then, add 1ml Accutase (Life
Induction of β5Wt and β5T1A expression in SHSY5Y/TO cells: 1µg/ml Doxycycline was added into the culture medium to induce the expression of βWt and βT1A mutant expression in HEK293/TO and SHSY5Y/TO cells [18].

Western blotting: Cell lysates were prepared in cell lysis buffer (50mM Tris, pH8.3, 150mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% Sodium deoxycholate, and 1 X Protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)). Briefly, cell culture medium was removed from 10-cm dishes, and washed with ice-cold DPBS twice. 1ml lysis buffer was added into each dish, incubated on ice for 10 min. The lysates were aliquoted into 300µl/tube. BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific) was performed to test the protein concentrations according to the manufacturer’s guide. 75µl 5 X Laemmli buffer (312.5mM Tris-HCl, pH6.8, 10% SDS, 250mM DTT, 50% Glycerol, and 0.05% Bromophenol blue) was added into each tube, mixed and heated at 95°C for 10 min. Finally, the samples were stored at -80°C until running SDS-PAGE. 12%, 1.0mm SDS-PAGE gels were run to separate the sample proteins. 10µl of BenchMark™ prestained protein ladder (Invitrogen), and 25-40µg of samples were loaded. Non-infected cell lysate was used as negative controls for the transgene expression. The gels were run in 1XTris-glycine SDS buffer (Biochip). The proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose and processed for Western blotting. Protein bands were visualized with ECL-plus Western blotting detection system (GE Healthcare), and quantified with a Storm 860 fluorescent imager (Molecular Dynamics).

Antibodies used in this study included: mouse anti-tetraHIS primary antibody (1:1000 dilution) (Qiagen), mouse anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) primary antibody (1:1000 dilution) (Sigma). The goat anti-mouse secondary antibody was Immunopure® goat anti-mouse IgG, H+L, Peroxidase conjugated, purchased from Thermo Scientific. Goat anti-mouse secondary antibody was 1:2500 diluted for probing tetraHIS, and GAPDH expression [14]. All the antibodies were diluted in Tris buffered saline (TBS, Wisent Biocenter) with 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% milk.

Fluorescence microscopy: A Axiovert 100TV (Zeiss) fluorescence microscope equipped with a AttoArc™ HBO 100W (Carl Zeiss) lamp power supply was used to observe the stable expression of EGFP in BV-2 cells after G418 selection for two weeks. Microscopic images were photographed using a digital camera (Kodak DC290 ZOOM).

Data Statistics: Data were analyzed by Mean ± SD (standard deviation) and Student’s T-TEST.

Results

Titration of LVs

The titration of the LVs was performed in 6-well plates manifested in (Figure 1). After two weeks of selection with G418 or puro, the live cell colonies were either directly counted under microscope, or stained with 1% crystal violet, and counted with naked eyes. The titers of the LVs were analyzed with Mean ± SD and T-TEST. The detailed T-TEST data were listed in supplementary data (Table 2). Generally, our data showed that the titers of LVs in this study were decreased with the increase of the sizes of the genes of interest (Figure 4, A, Table 1).
Figures 4(A-E): Titers of the LVs (n=3).

The different lentiviruses were indicated in the figures A, B, C, D and E. The titers were analyzed by Mean ± SD and student's T-TEST. The analyses were performed with Microsoft Windows 7, Excel software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector name</th>
<th>Inserts</th>
<th>Sizes (kb)</th>
<th>Titers (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/Neo</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.18 x 10^7 ± 9.58 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/α-SynWt</td>
<td>α-SynWt</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.55 x 10^7 ± 5.83 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/α-SynA30P</td>
<td>α-SynA30P</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.55 x 10^7 ± 3.12 x 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/α-SynA53T</td>
<td>α-SynA53T</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.58 x 10^7 ± 9.04 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/EGFP</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.33 x 10^7 ± 3.85 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/Rab3AWt</td>
<td>Rab3AWt</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.93 x 10^7 ± 3.86 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/β5Wt</td>
<td>β5Wt</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.11 x 10^7 ± 1.49 x 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/β5T1A</td>
<td>β5T1A</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6 x 10^7 ± 5.14 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/GDIR218E</td>
<td>GDIR218E</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.26 x 10^7 ± 8.22 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/GDIR240A</td>
<td>GDIR240A</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.44 x 10^7 ± 2.25 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pWPI/hPlk2Wt</td>
<td>hPlk2Wt</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.96 x 10^7 ± 133 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**: The relations between the LV titers and the sizes of the genes of interest.

**Titers of pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo and mutants LVs**: The titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo, pWPI/α-SynA30P/Neo, and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs were 4.18 x 10^7 ± 9.58 x 10^6, 2.55 x 10^7 ± 5.83 x 10^6, 4.55 x 10^7 ± 3.12 x 10^7, and 4.58 x 10^7 ± 9.04 x 10^6, respectively. Compared with the titer of pWPI/Neo LV, the titers of pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo, pWPI/α-SynA30P/Neo, and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05). But the titers between pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05, Figure 4. B).

**Titers of pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs**: The titers of pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo were 3.11 x 10^7 ± 1.49 x 10^7 and 1.6 x 10^7 ± 5.14 x 10^6, respectively. There was no significant difference between the titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/β5Wt/Neo LVs (P>0.05). But, the titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05). Furthermore, the titers between pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05, Figure 4. C).

**Titers of pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo and mutants LVs**: The titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo, pWPI/α-SynA30P/Neo, and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs were 4.18 x 10^7 ± 9.58 x 10^6, 2.55 x 10^7 ± 5.83 x 10^6, 4.55 x 10^7 ± 3.12 x 10^7, and 4.58 x 10^7 ± 9.04 x 10^6, respectively. Compared with the titer of pWPI/Neo LV, the titers of pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo, pWPI/α-SynA30P/Neo, and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05). But the titers between pWPI/α-SynWt/Neo and pWPI/α-SynA53T/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05, Figure 4. C).

**Titers of pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs**: The titers of pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo were 3.11 x 10^7 ± 1.49 x 10^7 and 1.6 x 10^7 ± 5.14 x 10^6, respectively. There was no significant difference between the titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/β5Wt/Neo LVs (P>0.05). But, the titers of pWPI/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05). Furthermore, the titers between pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pWPI/β5T1A/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05, Figure 4. C).
Western Blotting or Fluorescent Microscopy, respectively. Then, the stable transgene cell lines were tested by gradually increasing the drug concentrations, respectively. After T-TEST analysis, the titers between them were compared, respectively (P<0.05). Notably, the titers between pWPI/EGFP/Neo and pWPI/Rab3AWt/Neo LVs had highly significant difference (P<0.01, Figure 4. C, D).

Titters of pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo and pWPI/GDIR240A/Neo LVs: The titers of pWPI/Rab3AWt/Neo and pWPI/EGFP/Neo vectors were 3.93 x 10^6 ± 3.86 x 10^6 and 3.33 x 10^6 ± 3.85 x 10^6, respectively. The titers between pWPI/Neo and pWPI/Rab3AWt/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05). But the titers between pWPI/Neo and pWPI/EGFP/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05). In addition, the titers between pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo and pWPI/GDIR240A/Neo LVs also had no significant difference (P>0.05, Figure 4. C).

Titters of pWPI/Rab3AWt/Neo and pWPI/EGFP/Neo LVs: The titers of pWPI/hPlk2Wt/Puro and pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo LV, pWPI/hPlk2K111M/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2T239D/Neo, and pWPI/hPlk2T239V/Neo LVs were 4.96 x 10^6 ± 1.33 x 10^6, 6.92 x 10^6 ± 2.87 x 10^6, 3.55 x 10^6 ± 1.98 x 10^6, and 3.04 x 10^6 ± 2.07 x 10^6, respectively. Compared with the titers of pWPI/Neo LV, the titers of pWPI/hPlk2Wt/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2K111M/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2T239D/Neo, and pWPI/hPlk2T239V/Neo LVs had significant difference (P<0.05). When the titers between pWPI/hPlk2Wt/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2K111M/Neo, pWPI/hPlk2T239D/Neo, and pWPI/hPlk2T239V/Neo LVs were 3.26 x 10^6 ± 8.22 x 10^6 and 2.44 x 10^6 ± 2.25 x 10^6, respectively. Compared with the titers of pWPI/Neo LV, the titers of pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo and pWPI/GDIR240A/Neo LVs had no significant difference (P>0.05). In addition, the titers between pWPI/GDIR218E/Neo and pWPI/GDIR240A/Neo LVs also had no significant difference (P>0.05, Figure 4. C).

Establishment of Stable Cell Lines

HEK293, SHSY5Y, SHSY5Y/TO, NPCs and BV-2 glial cells were infected with LVs, and selected with G418 or Puro antibiotics for two weeks by gradually increasing the drug concentrations, respectively. Then, the stable transgene cell lines were tested by Western Blotting or Fluorescent Microscopy, respectively.

Stable transgene expression in HEK293 and BV-2 cell lines: To test the transgene expression of the stable cell lines, Western Blotting and Fluorescent Microscopy were performed for their expressions in HEK293, and BV-2 cells, respectively. The Open Reading Frames (ORF) of β5Wt and β5T1A mutant contained 873 Base Pairs (bp) with fused c-Myc and 6xHis tag sequences at their C-termini before the stop codon. The molecular weights of their full length precursor protein were about 31.7 kD. The mature β5 subunit was generated through two proteolytic events [29]. One was just before the active site Thr1, which was lost in β5T1A mutant, and the other was in the middle of the propeptide, which was still present in β5T1A mutant. Therefore, a mature β5T1A mutant subunit was with an extended 2.1kDa N-terminal sequence resided upstream from the T1A mutation site [30]. As a result, the mature β5Wt was about 24.7kD, whereas, the mature β5T1A was about 26.8kD (Figures 6. A, B, C, D, E; Supplementary data Figures 3. A, C). The ORFs of Rab3A Wt, and T36N, Q81L mutants contained 681bp with fused 6xHis tags at their N-termini immediately after start codon. Their protein molecular weights were about 25.8kD (Supplementary data Figure 3. A). The ORFs of GDI Wt and R218E, R240A mutants contained 1362bp with fused 6xHis tags at their N-termini immediately behind the start codon. Their protein molecular weights were about 51.3kD (Supplementary data Figure 3. B). their expressions were tested with Western blotting for the 6xHis tag expression. EGFP stable expression in BV-2 cell line was observed by fluorescence microscopy (Figures 5. A, B, C, D). Our data demonstrated that all the genes described above were efficiently expressed in HEK293 and BV-2 cell lines. Some of the expression data of these genes in SHSY5Y, NPCs were published elsewhere [19, 31].

20X original magnification) was documented by digital camera images taken with grayscale (A and C) and green fluorescence (B and D). The MOI (viruses: cells) of A and B was 1.6:1, and the MOI of C and D was 1:1.

**Induced expression of β5Wt and β5T1A in SHSY5Y/TO and HEK293/TO cells:** The induced expressions of β5Wt and β5T1A in SHSY5Y/TO cell lines were regulated by adding different amount of Doxycycline (Dox) in cell culture medium to induce the expression for 1 to 8 days. Their expressions were tested by Western Blotting with Tetra-His and GAPDH primary antibodies (Figures 6. A, B, C, D, E, F). Our data showed that the induced long-term stable expressions of β5Wt and β5T1A mutant in SHSY5Y/TO cells were successfully achieved. Interestingly, compared with the induced transient expression of β5Wt and β5T1A mutant in HEK293/TO cells, more mature β5Wt and β5T1A proteins were detected after induction in SHSY5Y/TO stable cell lines via LV transduction (Figures 6. A, C, E). Whereas, more full length precursor β5Wt and β5T1A proteins were detected 48 hours after induction through lipofectamine 2000 transfection in HEK293/TO cells (Supplementary data Figure 3. C).

**Figures 6(A-F):** Induced expressions of β5Wt and β5T1A in SHSY5Y/TO cell lines.

A and B: SHSY5Y/TO cells were infected with pLenti/TO/β5Wt and pLenti/TO/β5T1A LVs, selected with puromycin, and induced for expression by Dox for 4 days. About 40µg samples were loaded in 1.0mm, 12% SDS-PAGE gel. A was probed by TetraHis primary antibody, whereas, B was tested by GAPDH primary antibody. Lane 1: Protein ladder; lane 2: β5Wt (1µg/ml Dox); lane 3: β5Wt (No Dox, not induced); lane 4: β5Wt (1µg/ml Dox); lane 5: β5T1A (1µg/ml Dox); lane 6: β5T1A (No Dox); lane 7: β5T1A (1µg/ml Dox); lane 8: 293/pWPI/β5Wt/Neo (Positive control); lane 9: SHSY5Y/TO cells (Not transduced, negative control). Lanes 2, 3, 4, and lanes 5, 6, 7 were cell lines infected respectively, and lane 3 and lane 6 were leak expression without induction. C and D: Induced expression of β5Wt in SHSY5Y/TO cells transduced by pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro LV, selected with puromycin, with 1µg/ml Dox for different days. About 30µg samples were loaded in 1.0mm, 12% SDS-PAGE gel. C was probed by TetraHis primary antibody, and D was tested by GAPDH primary antibody. Lane 1: protein ladder; lane 2: Not induced; lane 3: day 1; lane 4: day 2; lane 5: day 3; lane 6: day 4; lane 7: day 5; lane 8: day 6; lane 9: day 7; lane 10: day 8. E and F: Induced expression of β5T1A in SHSY5Y/TO cells transduced by pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LV, selected with puromycin, with 1µg/ml Dox for different days. About 30µg samples were loaded in 1.0mm, 12% SDS-PAGE gel. E was probed by TetraHis primary antibody, and F was tested by GAPDH primary antibody. Lane 1: protein ladder; lane 2: Not induced; lane 3: day 1; lane 4: day 2; lane 5: day 3; lane 6: day 4; lane 7: day 5; lane 8: day 6; lane 9: day 7; lane 10: day 8.

**Discussion**

LVs with sufficient titers are the prerequisite for efficient LV transduction. Due to the mechanisms of the third generation LVs, the titers of LVs are mainly depended on the following four factors. 1), the transfection efficiencies affect the LV titers radically. Generally, for the same LV backbones and the same produce cell lines with the same methods, the bigger the sizes of the inserts, the lower the efficiencies of the transfections. Therefore, the titers of LVs harboring longer inserts are lower than those with shorter inserts (Figure 4. A). 2), The LV systems employed are also an important factor for their titers. For example, the packaging vectors of the third generation LVs contain three separate plasmids carrying the necessary genes for assembling LV particles. Whereas, the packaging vectors of the second generation LVs contain two separate plasmids. Therefore, if the third generation lentiviral transfer vectors, such as pWPI/Neo, and pLenti/TO/Puro, together with the three separate third-generation lentiviral packaging vectors, are employed to co-transfect the HEK293T produce cells, only the individual cells containing these four vectors, simultaneously, can produce LV particles [11].

As a contrast, if the third generation lentiviral transfer vectors, together with the two separate second generation lentiviral packaging vectors, are adopted, each cell carrying these three vectors can produce LV particles. Indeed, omission of any one of these plasmids (transfer vector, envelope vector and packaging vectors, respectively) during production resulted in no detectable functional titers (which refer to the concentration of vector particles capable of transducing target cells) [13,32]. For this reason, using the third generation transfer vector plus the second generation packaging vectors can increase the production of LVs particles, and hence, improve the efficiencies of LV transduction for the targeting cells. 3), the toxicity of the genes carried by the LV transfer vectors also can affect the LV production significantly. For instance, in our experiments, we found that the cells transfected with LV vectors...
carrying β5T1A mutant gene resulted in more cell death than β5Wt gene (unpublished data). As a result, the titers of pWPI/β5Wt/Neo and pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro are higher than pWPI/β5T1A/Neo and pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro in our experiments, particularly between pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro and pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro (Table 1, Figure 3, Figure 4. C). 4), the toxicity of the genes can also decrease the LV titers during the titration step. As aforementioned, when HEK293 cells were infected with LVs carrying β5Wt and β5T1A, such as pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro and pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LVs, more infected cells with pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LV were died. These died cells certainly cannot form cell colonies after two-week antibiotic selection. Therefore, the calculated titers were decreased significantly than pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro LVs (Figure 3, Figure 4. E, Table 1, P<0.05). Although their titers are significantly different between pLenti/TO/β5Wt/Puro and pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LVs, their induced expressions could be detected efficiently in SHSY5Y/T cells (Figures 6. A, C, E). This result further indicated that, in this instance, the calculated titers of pLenti/TO/β5T1A/Puro LV did not reveal its true number of infectious particles.

Because the self-inactivating of the third generation LVs prevented subsequent viral replication in the targeted cells [4, 11, 33], based on the above analyses, besides the inherent factors, such as the toxicity, and specific sequences of the genes of interest (for example, β5T1A and EGFP, etc), EGFP is a well-known neutral reporter in mammalian cells [34], but, the titers are significantly lower than other genes with similar sizes, Table 1), the transfection procedure is the sole controllable step to affect the LV titers. To improve the production of LVs, we optimized the cell culture and transfection protocols. Because HEK293T cells can not stick to the dish bottom strongly, some cells are easy to become floating, and decrease the transfection efficiencies. To prevent the cells to detach the dish, we coated the 10-cm dishes with 0.001% Poly-L-lysine during the LV production step [11]. In addition, when we perform the co-transfection step, we let the HEK293T cells at about 70-80% confluence, and the cells still have room for another division (293T cell line lost the contact inhibition ability). At this point, the cells are exponentially growing, so maximal transfection efficiencies might be achieved [35]. Furthermore, as aforementioned, when several plasmids were co-transfected, only a small proportion of cells contained all the plasmids. Previously, we combined CMV-DsRed plasmid with Lipofectamine 2000 to form DsRed-DNA-Lipofectamine complexes. At the same time, we also combined EF1α-EGFP plasmid with Lipofectamine 2000 to form EGFP-DNA-Lipofectamine complexes.

Then, we mixed the two complexes and added into cell culture medium to transfect the cells. Surprisingly, no cells expressing both DsRed and EGFP simultaneously were found (unpublished data). This phenomenon further indicated that during transfection procedure by Lipofectamine, probably, only one DNA-Lipofectamine complex can enter into each targeting cell. Moreover, to improve the efficiencies of DNA-Lipofectamine complex formation containing the lentiviral transfer vectors and two packaging vectors, simultaneously, as many as possible, we mixed these three vectors (their molecular ratios are about 1:1:1) thoroughly by low-speed vertex. We found these optimizations could increase the productivity of LV particles. Finally, we successfully established dozens of stable transgene cell lines which efficiently expressed the genes of interest in target cells (Figures 5, 6, Supplementary data Figures 3, A, B).

There are mainly three different methods for LVs titration. One of them is called RNA titer, which is the assessment of LV RNA in supernatant [32,36]. Usually, RNA titers can not accurately reflect the functional titer of LVs, and are substantially higher than the functional titers, which refer to the concentrations of LV particles capable of transducing target cells [32,37-39]. Another method is designated as DNA titer, which is the measurement of LV DNA in transduced cells [32]. The third method is called functional titer (also as biological titer, BT = TU/μl, transducing units/μl) [11], in which the LV DNA expression in target cells is measured by staining or fluorescence expression followed by fluorescent activated cell sorting [32,40]. Some investigators argued that this method could not distinguish cells with single or multiple integrations [13,32]. Our data suggested that almost all the transduced cells were with nearly identical integrated copy number after LV infection in BV-2 cell lines (Figures 5, B and D). This phenomenon indicated that, during titration, in high dilution wells of infection, such as 10⁴(Figure s3, D, E and F), 10⁵(Figures 2, B, C, D, E and F), 10⁶(Figures 3, A, B and C) and 10⁷ (Figure 2, A) in different experiments, the integration numbers of targeted cells might be identical, and it might be one copy of each targeted cell (Supplementary data Figure 1 and Figure 2). In our experiments, we did see some “huge” colonies (Figure 2 and Figure 3, black arrows), which were formed from several closely neighbored separate infected cells. We counted each of these “huge” colonies as one colony, and this is one of the reasons that, in our titration method, the functional titers are always lower than the real LV particle numbers. By vividly seeing the different transduced cells in different dilution wells, our titration method is very instructive for further infection of targeting cells. Finally, compared with other formats, such as using 24-well plates [11], using 100μl virus volume to make the 10 X fold dilution can radically decrease the deviations of dilution as well (Figure 1).

In this study, both constitutive and induced expressions of genes of interest are achieved efficiently in different targeting cells (Figure 5 and 6; Supplementary data Figure 3). Interestingly, the expressions of β5Wt and β5T1A in stable cell lines and in transient cells are different. In stable cell lines, both constitutive and induced expressions, more mature β5Wt and β5T1A expressions were detected than their full length precursors (Figure 6, A, C and E; Supplementary data Figure 3, A). Whereas, in transient
expression cells, more full length precursors of β5Wt and β5T1A were detected than their mature forms (Supplementary data Figure 3, C). Our data demonstrated that the in vitro overexpression models are successfully established. Currently, we use this method to produce LVs over expressing p53 and pTEN tumor suppressor genes to treat human cancer patients (data not shown).

More importantly, we also established stable human pluripotent stem cell lines over expressing insulin and ERRγ to treat human type 2 diabetes mellitus, and obtained very promising efficacies for the patient [41]. Therefore, this study provided an efficient, simple method for LV transduction of different human and mouse cells.
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