



Research Article

# A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area

Christina P Wang<sup>1</sup>, Suzanne S Vang<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Aaron J Cheung<sup>2,4</sup>, Jenny J Lin<sup>5</sup>, Lina H Jandorf<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Henry D. Janowitz Division of Gastroenterology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Population Health Science and Policy, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Population Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

<sup>4</sup>BS program, School of Industrial and Labor Relations, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

<sup>5</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

\*Corresponding author: Suzanne Vang, Assistant Professor, Department of Population Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, NY, USA

**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. J Community Med Public Health 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

**Received Date:** 09 June, 2023; **Accepted Date:** 17 June, 2023; **Published Date:** 21 June, 2023

## Abstract

**Background:** Colorectal cancer is a common cause of screening preventable death in Chinese immigrants, but colorectal cancer screening rates remain low in this population. This study evaluated factors associated with colorectal cancer screening behaviors in Chinese Americans living in New York City. **Methods:** Participants were foreign-born Chinese Americans, aged 50 years or older, who completed internet surveys between November 2020 and May 2021 regarding their colorectal cancer screening behaviors. Data were collected on demographics, health care utilization, participants' levels of health literacy, English proficiency, colorectal cancer perceptions and current colorectal cancer screening behaviors. Bivariate analyses using chi-square or t-tests were performed to examine associations between colorectal cancer screening behaviors and participant characteristics. **Results:** 103 participants were surveyed with a mean age of 71.3 years. Most participants experienced high rates of socioeconomic disadvantage (i.e., less than a high school education, annual household income <\$20,000, limited health literacy, and poor English proficiency). 92% were ever screened, 81% were up-to-date on screening, and 85% expressed intention to screen in the future. Almost all participants had a primary care provider and a language concordant provider. Individuals who intended to screen were more fearful of developing colorectal cancer (3.2 vs 2.8,  $p=0.02$ ) and perceived a colorectal cancer diagnosis with greater severity (3.0 vs 2.7,  $p=0.07$ ) than those without intention to screen. **Conclusions:** In our sample, Chinese immigrants were adversely impacted by multiple social determinants of health but reported high colorectal cancer screening rates. Community-based outreach is critical to ensuring cancer-screening engagement in medically vulnerable populations.

**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. *J Community Med Public Health* 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

**Keywords:** Colorectal cancer; Chinese immigrants; Cancer screening

## Introduction

As the fastest growing racial group, Chinese Americans are the largest Asian origin group with 5.2 million people in the United States (U.S.) [1,2]. Immigration, rather than native births, has propelled the rapid rise of the Asian American population, with nearly 70% of Chinese Americans born outside of the U.S. [3,4]. Foreign-born Chinese Americans represent a distinct cohort who are adversely impacted by the social determinants of health (e.g., more likely to lack health insurance or live in poverty, and less likely to have English proficiency or graduate from high school) and under-report health services utilization (e.g., cancer screening), leading to persistent health disparities [4-7].

It is estimated that by 2030, cancer incidence among Asian Americans will increase by 132%, versus 64% for non-Hispanic black and 31% for non-Hispanic white Americans [8]. In contrast to other racial and ethnic groups, cancer remains the top cause of death in Chinese Americans, and screen-detectable cancers are a common cause of preventable death [9-11]. Cancer disparities are exacerbated by nativity, as Chinese immigrants experience more advanced stage cancers, worse survival, and report lower cancer screening rates than native born Americans [12-15].

Despite their exponential growth, Asian Americans are aggregated in most clinical and epidemiological research, obscuring important differences with regards to risk factors, lifestyle practices, and health behaviors among specific Asian sub-groups [16]. Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains a leading cause of screening preventable death in Chinese Americans, with most CRCs diagnosed at later stage with poorer overall prognosis [9,17]. Despite these alarming trends, there is a paucity of data detailing CRC screening behaviors in this ethnic group. Herein, we performed a cross-sectional, community-based study of factors associated with ever, up-to-date, and intent-to-screen status among Chinese immigrants residing in New York City (NYC), the largest Chinese enclave in the U.S. [18].

## Methods

### Study Participants and Data Collection

An anonymous survey was administered via the internet to eligible participants between November 2020 and May 2021. Participants were eligible if they self-reported as: born in China, age 50 years or older, currently residing in NYC, and able to read in simplified Chinese or English. Participants were recruited via postings and announcements at Chinese-serving community organizations, Chinese community listservs, and through snowball sampling methods. Interested participants clicked a link to the

survey on REDCap, which provided them with an option to complete the survey in either Chinese or English. No compensation or incentives were provided to the participants. This study was deemed exempt by the Institutional Review Board at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

### Measures

Our outcome measures of interest included: 1) “Ever screened,” defined as ever having received any type of CRC screening (e.g., stool-based testing, colonoscopy); 2) “Up-to-date with screening,” defined as having had a stool-based test (i.e., fecal immunochemical test) for CRC within the past 12 months or having had a colonoscopy within the past 10 years; and 3) “Intent to screen,” defined as intending to have any CRC screening in the future.

We also collected data on participants’ age, gender, marital status, language preference, primary care provider status, language concordance with primary care provider, health insurance status, education level, household income, Chinese region of origin, NYC neighborhood, and number of years residing in the U.S. In addition, we measured participants’ level of health literacy [19] and English language proficiency [20]. Beliefs about CRC were assessed with the validated, Colorectal Cancer Perceptions Scale [21], which includes 35 questions regarding susceptibility (i.e., probability of developing CRC in the future), severity (i.e., the negative impact of CRC on an individual’s life), benefits of CRC screening, and barriers to CRC screening. Items are rated on a 1- (strongly disagree) to 5- (strongly agree) point Likert scale.

### Statistical Analysis

We conducted univariate analysis to produce a profile of the study sample and employed chi-square tests and t-tests, as appropriate, to evaluate bivariate associations between our outcome measures and participant characteristics, health literacy, English language proficiency, and CRC perceptions. For bivariate associations, we determined statistical significance at  $p < 0.05$ . All analyses were conducted in SAS 9.4.

## Results

Our sample included 103 participants whose mean age was 71.3 years (Table 1). Sixty-one percent of participants identified as female and 73% were married. Seventy-five percent of participants had less than a high school education, 95% reported an annual household income  $< \$20,000$ , and 93% had public health insurance. All of our participants were born in China, with the majority emigrating from Guangdong and Fujian provinces. Less than 10% were recent immigrants (resided in the U.S. for  $< 10$  years). Sixty-one percent spoke Cantonese as their primary language and the vast majority ( $> 90\%$ ) endorsed poor English speaking, writing,

**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. *J Community Med Public Health* 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

and reading capabilities. While 77% of individuals had limited health literacy, 99% had a primary care physician (PCP) and all but 2 participants had a language concordant PCP. In our study population, 92% had been screened for CRC, 81% were up-to-date with CRC screening, and 85% expressed intention to screen for CRC in the future. Among the 8 participants who had never been screened, 6 (75%) expressed future intent to screen. Among the 21 participants who were not up-to-date with screening, 16 (76%) intended to screen again.

	<b>n</b>	<b>%<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>Age (n, <math>\bar{x}</math>)</b>	91	71.32
<b>Gender</b>		
Female	63	61
Male	40	39
<b>Married</b>		
Married	79	73.15
Not married	24	26.85
<b>Cantonese vs. Non-Cantonese</b>		
Cantonese	61	59.80
Non Cantonese	41	40.20
<b>Has PCP or Usual Source of Care</b>		
Yes	101	99.02
No	1	0.98
<b>Concordant Language PCP</b>		
Yes	101	98.06
No	2	1.94
<b>Health Insurance</b>		
Public	96	93
Private	7	7
<b>Education</b>		
Less than high school	78	75.73
High school or more	25	24.27
<b>Annual Household Income</b>		
Less than \$15,000	60	58.80
\$15,000 to \$19,000	37	36.27
\$20,000 or more	5	4.93
<b>Region of Origin</b>		
Fujian	29	28.20
Guangdong	57	55.30
Other areas of China	17	16.50
<b>Neighborhood</b>		
Manhattan Chinatown	45	43.70
Manhattan, Other	41	39.80
Outer Boroughs	17	16.50
<b>Years in US</b>		

**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. *J Community Med Public Health* 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

9 years or less	9	8.74
10 or more years	94	91.26
<b>Health Literacy</b>		
Not Limited	24	23.30
Limited	79	76.70
<b>English-Speaking Ability</b>		
Poor	92.16	94
Fair	4	3.92
Good	4	3.92
<b>English-Writing Ability</b>		
Poor	96	93.20
Fair	4	3.88
Good	3	2.91
<b>English-Reading Ability</b>		
Poor	95	92.23
Fair	4	3.88
Good	4	3.88
<b>Ever Screened for CRC</b>		
Yes	95	92.23
No	8	7.77
<b>Up-to-date with CRC Screening</b>		
Yes	87	80.56
No	21	19.44
<b>Intends to Screen for CRC</b>		
Yes	92	85.19
No	16	14.81
*: unless otherwise noted; PCP: Primary Care Provider; CRC: Colorectal Cancer		

**Table 1:** Characteristics of the study sample.

We performed bivariate analyses (Table 2) to assess the associations between sociodemographic characteristics and CRC screening behavior (i.e. ever, up-to-date, and intent to screen). Cantonese-speaking individuals were less likely to have ever been screened (87% vs 100%,  $p = 0.02$ ), but when asked about intention to screen, this difference did not persist. In our cohort, the factors associated with up-to-date CRC screening were public health insurance ( $p = 0.01$ ), less than a high school education ( $p = 0.01$ ), and limited health literacy ( $p = 0.02$ ). Those who were married ( $p = 0.07$ ) and who spoke non-Cantonese dialects ( $p=0.06$ ) were marginally more likely to be up-to-date with CRC screening. No sociodemographic variable was associated with intent-to-screen.

Variables	Ever Screened			Up-to-Date			Intent to Screen	
	n	% <sup>a</sup>		n	% <sup>a</sup>		n	% <sup>a</sup>
<b>Age (n, <math>\bar{x}</math>)</b>	83	71.43		76	71.49		79	71.06
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	59	93.65		51	80.10		52	82.50
Male	36	90		36	90		35	87.50
<b>Married</b>								
Married	73	92.40		67	84.80	$p=0.07$	67	84.80
Not married	22	91.70		20	69		25	86.20
<b>Cantonese vs. Non Cantonese</b>								
Cantonese	53	86.89	$p=0.02$	48	78.69	$p=0.06$	52	82.93
Non Cantonese	41	100		38	92.68		34	85.25
<b>Health Insurance</b>								
Public	89	92.71		82	85.40	$p=0.01$	81	84.40
Private	6	85.71		5	41.70		11	91.70
<b>Education</b>								
Less than high school	73	93.59		69	88.50	$p=0.01$	69	88.50
High school or more	22	88		18	60		23	76.70
<b>Region of Origin</b>								
Fujian	29	100		27	93.10		22	75.86
Guangdong	50	87.72		44	77.20		50	87.72
Other areas of China	16	94.12		16	94.10	$p=0.08$	15	88.24
<b>Neighborhood</b>								
Manhattan Chinatown	41	91.11		39	86.70		39	86.70
Manhattan, Other	38	92.68		34	82.90		35	85.40
Outer Boroughs	16	94.12		14	82.40		13	76.50
<b>Years in US</b>								
9 years or less	9	100		8	88.89		9	100
10 or more years	86	91.49		79	84.04		78	82.98
<b>Limited Health Literacy</b>								
Not limited	22	91.67		19	65.52	$p=0.02$	24	82.76
Limited	73	92.41		68	86.08		68	86.08

<sup>a</sup>: Unless otherwise noted

**Table 2:** Sociodemographic associations with colorectal cancer screening behavior.

We also evaluated associations between CRC perception scores and CRC screening behaviors (Table 3). Participants who reported intent to undergo CRC screening were more fearful of developing CRC (3.2 vs 2.8,  $p = 0.02$ ) than those without intention to screen. Similarly, the perceived severity of a CRC diagnosis was marginally greater in those who intended to screen (3.0 vs 2.7,  $p = 0.07$ ) compared to those without intention to screen.

	Susceptibility Score		Severity Score		Benefits Score		Barriers Score		Fear Score		Life Impact Score	
	n	$\bar{x}$	n	$\bar{x}$	n	$\bar{x}$	n	$\bar{x}$	n	$\bar{x}$	n	$\bar{x}$
<b>Ever Screened</b>												
No	8	3.6750	8	2.9583	7	2.2286	7	3.5055	8	3.1500	8	2.8214
Yes	91	3.7956	86	2.9797	91	2.0901	87	3.5561	91	3.1714	87	2.8243
<b>Up-to-Date with Screening</b>												
No	15	3.9733	16	3.0417	15	2.4533	15	3.6359	16	3.2000	16	2.9286
Yes	84	3.7524	78	2.9647	83	2.0361	79	3.5365	83	3.1639	79	2.8029
<b>Intends to Screen</b>												
No	14	3.6571	14	2.7440	14	2.1143	13	3.4320	15	2.8133	14	2.6939
Yes	85	3.8071	80	3.0188	84	2.0976	81	3.5717	84	3.2333	81	2.8466

**Table 3:** Associations between Colorectal Cancer Perceptions Scale and colorectal cancer screening behaviors.

## Discussion

Despite national guidelines recommending CRC screening for Americans age 45 years and older, substantial disparities remain by race/ethnicity, nativity, socioeconomic status, acculturation level, and English language proficiency [22-26]. The present study illustrates important lessons regarding CRC screening utilization in an urban, foreign-born, and historically underserved community. Much to our surprise, a majority of surveyed individuals reported being up-to-date with CRC screening (81%) despite prior studies suggesting poor CRC screening rates (26-41%) among Chinese Americans [14,27].

Our sampled population is adversely impacted by several social determinants of health: most participants had limited English proficiency, had less than a high school education, lived below the federal poverty line, and reported limited health literacy. Despite being heavily disadvantaged, over 99% of participants reported having a usual source of care, which has been associated with regular and timely use of primary and preventive care [28]. It is important to note that participants in our study were mainly recruited from a partnered community site with linguistic and culturally-concordant staff who regularly assist community members in obtaining social and health services (e.g., applying for health insurance, enrolling in free cancer screening services through New York State Cancer Services Program) [29]. Social workers, lay or community health workers, and patient navigators are commonly employed by community centers and can aid members in overcoming sociocultural and structural obstacles to care. Several studies show that these outreach efforts are able to effectively engage vulnerable communities and enhance cancer screening participation in minoritized populations [30-37].

Notably, over 98% of participants reported having a language concordant PCP, which may have also contributed to the high CRC screening adherence rates observed in our cohort. Physician recommendation is consistently cited as one of the strongest facilitators of CRC screening uptake and persists in studies evaluating racial and ethnic minority groups [22,38-40]. The achievement of >80% CRC screening completion, which surpassed national objectives set by the National Colorectal Cancer Roundtable and Healthy People 2030, is remarkable considering the degree of disadvantage endorsed by this entirely immigrant community [41,42].

Foreign-born status is another important health indicator and prior studies demonstrate varying health behaviors and outcomes among this cohort, with foreign-born individuals reporting lower CRC screening participation [43,44]. In a recent, cross-sectional analysis of CRC screening behaviors among foreign-born Americans, adherence to CRC screening was worse in foreign-born compared to native-born Asian Americans, regardless of years lived in the U.S. [45]. Among foreign-born, non-Hispanic white and black Americans, recent immigration (<15 years) was associated with decreased CRC screening [23]. U.S. immigrants frequently

encounter several healthcare obstacles, related to lack of health insurance, limited English proficiency, lack of U.S. citizenship, and differences in cultural norms [45,46]. Our study underscores the notion that among underserved or foreign-born individuals, linkages with trusted community stakeholders are critical for navigating the healthcare system and ensuring equitable healthcare access.

Our study has a number of strengths and limitations. While prior studies focused on colonoscopy use or CRC screening trends in large healthcare systems [47,48], our study directly sampled foreign-born, Chinese community members, a historically marginalized and medically underserved group, about their screening practices. NYC remains the largest Chinese enclave in the U.S, and continues to experience rapid growth of the Asian population [4,18]. Thus, our study provides important regional perspectives on CRC screening practices that likely varies from patterns observed in other areas of the country, such as enhanced community engagement with social services. Our analyses are limited by a small sample size, and the cross-sectional nature impacted our ability to observe longitudinal changes in screening behaviors, particularly whether intention to screen manifested in screening completion. Our survey was administered through the internet, which may have led to a potential selection bias, although our population reported low health literacy and English proficiency. Outcomes were also based on self-report which could have been impacted by a social desirability bias and we were unable to confirm CRC screening completion.

## Conclusions

While our findings may not be generalizable to Chinese immigrants residing in other regions of the U.S., they are noteworthy from a public health standpoint. Despite being disadvantaged by several social determinants of health, our cohort reported higher adherence to CRC screening, likely bolstered by ties to neighborhood community centers offering critical support services in their preferred language and with language concordant providers that may have enabled greater healthcare engagement. Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, cancer screening participation has decreased, with the steepest decline observed among Asian Americans [49]. Now more than ever, policy and public health efforts must align with community partners to design culturally-sensitive, community-engaged cancer mitigation strategies if we are to make meaningful strides towards cancer screening equity.

## Acknowledgements

No direct funding was received for this study. CPW and SSV receive research salary support from the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32CA225617. LJ receives



**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. *J Community Med Public Health* 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

salary support from the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number P30CA196521. The content is solely the responsibility of the listed authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies listed.

## Ethical Guidelines

This study was exempt by the Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board at the Icahn School of Medicine.

## References

1. Budiman A, Ruiz NG (2023) Key facts about Asian Americans, a diverse and growing population. Pew Research Center.
2. US Census Bureau (2023) Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Heritage Month.
3. American Cancer Society (2016) Cancer Facts & Figures 2016 Special Section: Cancer in Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders. American Cancer Society, Inc., Surveillance Research.
4. Asian American Federation (2019) Profile of New York City's Chinese Americans. New York, NY.
5. New York City DoH (2021) Health of Asians and Pacific Islander in New York City. New York, NY.
6. Social Determinants of Health. Healthy People 2030.
7. McLeod MR, Galoosian A, May FP (2022) Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Outcomes. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 36: 415-428.
8. Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, Hortobagyi GN, Buchholz TA (2009) Future of cancer incidence in the United States: burdens upon an aging, changing nation. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 2758-2765.
9. Tripathi O, He Y, Han BY, Paragas DG, Sharp N, et al. (2022) Cancer Mortality in U.S.-Born versus Foreign-Born Asian American Groups (2008-2017). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 31: 58-65.
10. Thompson CA, Gomez SL, Hastings KG, Kappahnn K, Yu P, et al. (2016) The Burden of Cancer in Asian Americans: A Report of National Mortality Trends by Asian Ethnicity. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 25: 1371-1382.
11. Tsang H, Sales C, Lin B, Palaniappan L (2020) Chinese and Chinese-American Health Statistics, 1950-2020. 2020. Stanford Center for Asian Health Research and Education.
12. Choe JH, Koepsell TD, Heagerty PJ, Taylor VM (2005) Colorectal cancer among Asians and Pacific Islanders in the U.S.: survival disadvantage for the foreign-born. *Cancer Detect Prev* 29: 361-368.
13. Gomez SL, Clarke CA, Shema SJ, Chang ET, Keegan TH, et al. (2010) Disparities in breast cancer survival among Asian women by ethnicity and immigrant status: a population-based study. *Am J Public Health* 100: 861-869.
14. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012) Cancer screening-United States, 2010. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*.
15. Bock S, Henley SJ, O'Neil ME, Singh SD, Thompson TD, et al. (2023) Cancer Distribution Among Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Subgroups - United States, 2015-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 72: 421-425.
16. Kanaya AM, Hsing AW, Panapasa SV, Kandula NR, Araneta MRG, et al. (2022) Knowledge Gaps, Challenges, and Opportunities in Health and Prevention Research for Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders: A Report From the 2021 National Institutes of Health Workshop. *Ann Intern Med* 175: 574-589.
17. Bock S, Henley SJ, O'Neil ME, Singh SD, Thompson TD, et al. (2023) Cancer Distribution Among Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Subgroups-United States, 2015-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 72: 421-425.
18. Rosenbloom R, Batalova J (2023) Chinese Immigrants in the United States. Migration Policy Institute.
19. Chew LD, Bradley KA, Boyko EJ (2004) Brief questions to identify patients with inadequate health literacy. *Fam Med* 36: 588-594.
20. Gee GC, Walsemann KM, Takeuchi DT (2010) English proficiency and language preference: testing the equivalence of two measures. *Am J Public Health* 100: 563-569.
21. Leung DY, Wong EM, Chan CW (2014) Psychometric properties of a Chinese version of the Colorectal Cancer Perceptions Scale in a sample of older Chinese people. *Cancer Nurs* 37: E53-E60.
22. May FP, Almario CV, Ponce N, Spiegel BM (2015) Racial minorities are more likely than whites to report lack of provider recommendation for colon cancer screening. *Am J Gastroenterol* 110: 1388-1394.
23. Santiago-Rodríguez EJ, Shariff-Marco S, Gomez SL, Hiatt RA (2023) Disparities in Colorectal Cancer Screening by Time in the U.S. and Race/Ethnicity, 2010-2018. *Am J Prev Med* S0749-3797(23)00050-8.
24. Xie Z, Chen G, Suk R, Dixon B, Jo A, et al. (2023) Limited English Proficiency and Screening for Cervical, Breast, and Colorectal Cancers among Asian American Adults. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities* 10: 977-985.
25. Lee S, Chen L, Jung MY, Baezconde-Garbanati L, Juon HS (2014) Acculturation and cancer screening among Asian Americans: role of health insurance and having a regular physician. *J Community Health* 39: 201-212.
26. Siegel RL, Wagle NS, Cercek A, Smith RA, Jemal A (2023) Colorectal cancer statistics, 2023. *CA Cancer J Clin* 73: 233-254.
27. Kim K, Quinn M, Lam H (2018) Promoting Colorectal Cancer Screening in Foreign-Born Chinese-American Women: Does Racial/Ethnic and Language Concordance Matter? *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities* 5: 1346-1353.
28. Chang E, Chan KS, Han HR (2014) Factors associated with having a usual source of care in an ethnically diverse sample of Asian American adults. *Med Care* 52: 833-841.
29. New York State Department of Health (2022) New York State Cancer Services Program.
30. Liang PS, Wheat CL, Abhat A, Brenner AT, Fagerlin A, et al. (2016) Adherence to Competing Strategies for Colorectal Cancer Screening Over 3 Years. *Am J Gastroenterol* 111: 105-114.
31. Percac-Lima S, Grant RW, Green AR, Ashburner JM, Gamba G, et al. (2009) A culturally tailored navigator program for colorectal cancer screening in a community health center: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Gen Intern Med* 24: 211-217.

**Citation:** Wang CP, Vang SS, Cheung AJ, Lin JJ, Jandorf LH (2023) A Community-Based Survey of Colorectal Cancer Screening Behaviors in Chinese Immigrants Residing in a Major Metropolitan Area. *J Community Med Public Health* 7: 336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100336>

---

32. Inadomi JM, Issaka RB, Green BB (2021) What Multilevel Interventions Do We Need to Increase the Colorectal Cancer Screening Rate to 80%? *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 19: 633-645.
33. Walsh JM, Salazar R, Nguyen TT, Kaplan C, Nguyen LK, et al. (2010) Healthy colon, healthy life: a novel colorectal cancer screening intervention. *Am J Prev Med* 39: 1-14.
34. Maxwell AE, Bastani R, Danao LL, Antonio C, Garcia GM, et al. (2010) Results of a community-based randomized trial to increase colorectal cancer screening among Filipino Americans. *Am J Public Health* 100: 2228-2234.
35. Han HR, Song Y, Kim M, Hedlin HK, Kim K, et al. (2017) Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening Literacy Among Korean American Women: A Community Health Worker-Led Intervention. *Am J Public Health* 107: 159-165.
36. Tian L, Huang L, Liu J, Li X, Ajmal A, et al. (2022) Impact of Patient Navigation on Population-Based Breast Screening: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *J Gen Intern Med* 37: 2811-2820.
37. Vora S, Lau JD, Kim E, Sim SC, Oster A, et al. (2017) Patient Navigation Program for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Chinese Americans at an Urban Community Health Center: Lessons Learned. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 28: 887-895.
38. Wee CC, McCarthy EP, Phillips RS (2005) Factors associated with colon cancer screening: the role of patient factors and physician counseling. *Prev Med* 41: 23-29.
39. Jih J, Nguyen MP, Ly I, Tsoh JY, Ie GM, et al. (2018) The Role of Physician Recommendation in Colorectal Cancer Screening Receipt Among Immigrant Chinese Americans. *J Immigr Minor Health* 20: 1483-1489.
40. Hudson SV, Ferrante JM, Ohman-Strickland P, Hahn KA, Shaw EK, et al. (2012) Physician recommendation and patient adherence for colorectal cancer screening. *J Am Board Fam Med* 25: 782-791.
41. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2030. Increase the proportion of adults who get screened for colorectal cancer - C-07. Washington, DC.
42. American Cancer Society National Colorectal Cancer Roundtable. 80% in Every Community.
43. Singh GK, Hiatt RA (2006) Trends and disparities in socioeconomic and behavioural characteristics, life expectancy, and cause-specific mortality of native-born and foreign-born populations in the United States, 1979-2003. *Int J Epidemiol* 35: 903-919.
44. Singh GK, Rodriguez-Lainz A, Kogan MD (2013) Immigrant health inequalities in the United States: use of eight major national data systems. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2013: 512313.
45. Fang CY, Ragin CC (2020) Addressing Disparities in Cancer Screening among U.S. Immigrants: Progress and Opportunities. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 13:253-260.
46. Reyes AM, Miranda PY (2015) Trends in cancer screening by citizenship and health insurance, 2000-2010. *J Immigr Minor Health* 17: 644-651.
47. Ni K, O'Connell K, Anand S, Yakubovitch SC, Kwon SC, et al. (2020) Low Colorectal Cancer Screening Uptake and Persistent Disparities in an Underserved Urban Population. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 13: 395-402.
48. Rastogi N, Xia Y, Inadomi JM, Kwon SC, Trinh-Shevrin C, et al. (2019) Disparities in colorectal cancer screening in New York City: An analysis of the 2014 NYC Community Health Survey. *Cancer Med* 8: 2572-2579.
49. Star J, Bandi P, Siegel RL, Han X, Minihan A, et al. (2023) Cancer Screening in the United States During the Second Year of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Clin Oncol* JCO 2202170.